High quality child care and early education are critical to the success of two national priorities: helping families work and ensuring that every child enters school ready to succeed.

The current funding level for child care assistance does not come close to meeting the needs of low-income working families.

- Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG) – This major federal child care program is the primary source of support for helping low-income families afford quality child care critical to finding and keeping a job and preparing their children to succeed in school.

- The federal government provided $4.8 billion for CCDBG in 2004—the same level of funding since 2002. The Administration’s proposed budget does not account for inflation and does not ask for one more dime for child care funding for 2005 or 2006.

- It is estimated that 2.5 million children received child care assistance from the various funding sources in 2003. These additional sources were states, the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program, and the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG). The Administration projects that the number of children receiving help will decline by 200,000 million in 2004 and by 500,000 in 2010.

- Only one in seven children eligible for child care assistance actually receives it.

- In almost two-fifths of the states, a family earning just $25,000 a year would not qualify for assistance. ¹

- At least one-third of states place eligible families who apply for help on waiting lists or turn them away without even taking their names because there are not enough funds to provide services. ²

The need for child care has become a daily fact of life for many parents.

- Sixty-five percent of mothers with children under age six and 79 percent of mothers with children ages six to 13 are in the labor force.³

- In 2001, only one-quarter of all families with children younger than six—and only one-third of married-couple families with young children—had one parent working and one parent who stayed at home.⁴ Working women earn about half or more of their families’ earnings in the majority of U.S. households.⁵

- The proportion of single mothers with jobs, after remaining steady at around 58 percent from 1986 to 1993, increased sharply to 71.5 percent in 1999.⁶
Every day, 12 million preschoolers—including 6 million infants and toddlers—are in child care.

- This is only about half of all eligible preschool children. Millions more school-age children and youth are in after-school activities while their parents work. Yet more than six million children are left home alone on a regular basis.
- Children enter care as early as six weeks of age and can be in care for as many as 40 hours a week until they reach school-age.
- Child care arrangements of children younger than five with working mothers in 1999:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child care centers</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family child care providers</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-home caregivers</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions of Child Care Settings:

- **Child Care Centers**: care provided in nonresidential facilities, usually for 13 or more children.
- **Family Child Care Providers**: care provided in a private residence other than the child’s home.
- **In-Home Caregivers**: care provided in the child’s home, by a person other than a parent or relative.
- **Relative Care**: care provided by an individual related to the child.

Child care helps shape children’s futures and is key to school readiness.

- The research is clear that the quality of child care has a lasting impact on children’s well-being and ability to learn. Children in poor quality child care have been found to be delayed in language and reading skills, and display more aggression toward other children and adults.
- A study released in 1999 found that children in high quality child care demonstrated greater mathematical ability, greater thinking and attention skills, and fewer behavioral problems than children in lower quality care. These differences held true for children from a range of family backgrounds, with particularly significant effects for children at risk.
- School-age children’s academic performance is enhanced by attending formal child care programs of at least adequate quality, according to several studies. Children attending such programs have been found to have better work habits and relationships with peers, and to be better adjusted and less anti-social than children who spend their out-of-school hours alone, in front of the television or informally supervised by other adults.

Yet families struggle to find and afford quality child care environments.

- Full-day child care can easily cost between $4,000 and $10,000 per year—at least as much as college tuition at a public university. Yet one quarter of America’s families with young children earn less than $25,000 a year, and a family with both parents working full-time at the minimum wage earns only $21,400 a year.
Most low-income families cannot get help paying for child care. Nationally, only one out of seven children eligible under federal law receives child care assistance. In over one-third of the states, a family earning just $25,000 a year would not qualify for assistance.

Despite 40 years of investment in Head Start, the program still serves only about half of all eligible preschool-age children and serves less than three percent of eligible infants and toddlers in Early Head Start.

Currently, 38 states and the District of Columbia have pre-kindergarten initiatives. Yet most serve only a small percentage of children at risk, and a number of them lack adequate quality standards. Many initiatives support only part-day programs that fail to meet the needs of parents working full-time.

Good care is hard to find. Studies have found that much of the child care in the United States is poor to mediocre. A Philadelphia study found that only two out of ten centers were rated as good, with the rest minimally adequate or inadequate. Only four percent of family child care programs were rated as good. Low-income children are often less likely to receive good care.

Another national study found equally alarming patterns in family child care programs. This study discovered that over one-third of the programs were rated as inadequate, which means that quality was poor enough to harm children’s development.

Cosmetologists must attend as much as 2,000 hours of training before they can get a license, yet, 37 states allow teachers in child care centers to begin working with children without receiving any training in early childhood development.

Professional, quality child care is hard to find in a marketplace where child care workers earn an average of only $17,610 per year. In addition, child care workers tend to receive no benefits or paid leave.

Scarcity of after-school programs leaves school-age children home alone.

More than six million children are left home alone on a regular basis, often during the afternoon hours when juvenile crime peaks and children are vulnerable to risky behavior like smoking, drug and alcohol use, and sexual activity.

Good after-school activities can be particularly difficult to find for children in low-income families. Children living in families with a monthly income of under $1,500 are less than half as likely to participate in enrichment activities such as sports, lessons, or clubs as children living in families with a monthly income of $4,500 or more.
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