THE CHANGES TO IDEA DISCIPLINE PROVISIONS IN HR 1350 ARE NOT WARRANTED AND WILL LEAD TO UNFAIR AND INAPPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

HR 1350 undoes key protections for children with disabilities who are being disciplined. HR 1350:
- Allows schools to unilaterally remove any child whom it determines to have violated a student code of conduct policy, regardless of severity, to an alternative interim setting for up to 45 days;
- Eliminates the “manifestation determination” process altogether, which protects students from being unfairly punished for actions that are beyond their control because they are a result of students’ disabilities; and,
- Eliminates the requirement for functional behavioral assessments and positive behavioral interventions which are necessary to ensure that students are not unreasonably punished if they did not get the supports to which they are entitled under IDEA and which are necessary to help them succeed in the classroom.

Research clearly indicates that the dramatic changes to student discipline policy in HR 1350 are not necessary. A 2001 GAO study found that:
- IDEA discipline provisions do not hinder school officials in disciplining students. In the study, 81% of principals found that special education requirements based on federal, state and local statutes did not inhibit their ability to discipline students. In fact, principals generally rated their schools’ special education discipline policies as having “a positive or neutral effect on school safety and orderliness.”
- Children with disabilities were NOT given greater leeway in their behavior as a result of IDEA.
- 80% of states are out of compliance with IDEA’s requirement to provide free and appropriate public education to children with disabilities. (National Council on Disability, 2000).
- Only 1 in 5 students with mental health problems receive any treatment (Office of the Surgeon General, 1999).
- The National Longitudinal Transitional Study of Special Education Students found a wide disparity in quality instruction and related services provided to African American and Latino youth identified with Emotional and Behavioral Disorders (EBD) (Valdes, et al., 1990).

Permitting schools to suspend students with disabilities for any “code of student conduct” violation is not appropriate, because these students are often not provided the supports they need to address the causes of their behavior.
- 80% of states are out of compliance with IDEA’s “least restrictive environment” provisions (National Council on Disability, 2000). These provisions are intended to ensure that children with disabilities are educated to the greatest extent possible in the mainstream classroom.
- Even under current law, children with disabilities are too often excluded from the mainstream classroom.
- 72% of states are out of compliance with IDEA’s “least restrictive environment” provisions (National Council on Disability, 2000). These provisions are intended to ensure that children with disabilities are educated to the greatest extent possible in the mainstream classroom.
- Even with current law protections, students with disabilities are over-represented among students who are expelled (Morrison and D’Incau, 1997). This is despite the fact that few of those who are removed from school present serious dangers to students or staff (Morrison, 2001).
Integrating students with disabilities in the mainstream classroom, offering high academic standards and curriculum, and providing high quality, positive behavioral interventions have been shown to improve all students’ behavior and achievement.

- According to the U.S. Department of Education, “Social interactions between students with and without disabilities are enhanced when students with disabilities are served in regular classes—and are beneficial for many students without disabilities” (1999).
- Providing positive behavioral supports for all students, including those with significant behavioral challenges, contributes significantly to advancements in student achievement (Horner and Sugai, 2002).
- Effective behavioral interventions can prevent negative outcomes such as school failure, incarceration and substance abuse (Office of the Surgeon General, 1999).
- Classes that include high academic expectations and meaningful instruction result in fewer behavioral problems for students with Emotional and Behavioral Disorders (Children’s Behavioral Alliance, 2002).

In contrast, suspension, expulsion and segregation of students with behavioral problems lead to severely negative outcomes for students with disabilities.

- Segregating students with EBD increases future behavioral problems (Dishion, McCord and Poulin, 1999).
- Numerous studies show that students who are suspended or expelled are more likely to dropout of school (e.g., Keleher, 2000). The problem is worse for students with disabilities, 28% of whom cite discipline issues as their reason for dropping out (Brooks, Schiraldi and Zeidenberg, 2000).
- Ineffective approaches to discipline increase the probability of dropping out more than any other single factor (National Association of School Psychologists, 2003).
- Students who dropout are three and one half times more likely to be arrested than those who do not dropout (National Association of School Psychologists, 2003).

Eliminating the procedural safeguards in IDEA around discipline will have a disparate impact on minority youth with disabilities.

- Studies show that restrictive placements mean that minority special education students are likely to receive unequal services (Crockett and Kaufman, 1999).
- Black students are more likely to be suspended and expelled and suffer harsher consequences for behavioral problems than their White peers. During the 1999-2000 school year, Black students with disabilities were more than three times as likely as Whites to be given short-term suspensions. Similarly, they were nearly three times more likely than White students to be removed from school for more than ten days (Osher, Woodruff and Sims, 2002).
- When removed from the classroom, Black and Latino youth with disabilities were between two and four times more likely to be educated in correctional facilities than White youth who were removed from the classroom.¹
- Black, Latino and Native American youth with disabilities were 67 percent more likely than White children to be removed on grounds of dangerousness by a hearing officer (Osher, Woodruff and Sims, 2002).

For more information, contact Jill Morningstar, Co-Director of the Education and Youth Development Division, at (202) 662-3574 or jmorningstar@childrensdefense.org.
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